A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is *not* intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Description of the lite	rature sou	rce:									
Title:	Interventions for the Treatment of Obstructiv Health Technology Assessment					e Sle	еер Ар	nea i	n Adu	lts: A	
Organization (acronym):	ronym): CADTH										
Publication year: 2017		ISSN: 1927-	0127 (o	nline)	ISE	3N:					
Name and email of perso	n completing	the checklist	Laui	ra Weeks	s: laura	w@c	cadth.c	а			
Item						Y	⁄es	Pa	artly No		lo
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?)	X
2. Authors identified?							Χ				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							Χ				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							Χ				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?)	X		
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Χ				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Χ				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							Х				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?									X		
How?											
10. Details on sources of	information a	and literature	search	strategie	es provi	deď	?				
Search strategy Data	bases	s Year range		Language restriction			Primary data		Other kind informati resource		on
Y: x N: Y: x	N: Fro	om: 2006 I: 2017	Y:	x N:	Y	:	N:	х	Grey		
Complete reference list of		ist of		Inclus	sion			Exclusion			
included studies		cluded studies		criteria					criteria		
Y: x N:	Y: x	N:	Y		N:		Y			N:	
11. Information on basis t											
Method of data extraction described?	(for quality of the li	tical appraisal method or quality assessment of the literature) described?		described?			clearly	pres	or the assessment esented, eg, in the evidence tables?		
Y: x N:	Y: x	N:	Y:	X	N:		Y:	Χ		N:	
Context?											
12. Information on contex	t (may or may	y not apply to ea	ach HT/	4).							
implications procession processio	omic analysis rovided?	implicatio considere		l?			(stakeholders, pa consumers) cons			oatier sider	nts, ed?
Y: N: x Y:	x N:	N: Y: x N: Y: x N:							ınd ca		
What then?							es_	Pa	rtly	N	10
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							X				
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?											

15. Suggestions for further action?